

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANDREA RIDGELL, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated,	}	Case No.: 2:18-CV-04916 PA (AFMx)
Plaintiff,		[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT GRANTING FRONTIER AIRLINES INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
v.		
FRONTIER AIRLINES, INC. a Colorado corporation; AIRBUS S.A.S., a foreign corporation doing business in the State of California; AIRBUS GROUP HQ, INC., a corporation doing business in the State of California,		Date: June 17, 2019 Time: 1:30 p.m. Place: Courtroom of the Honorable Percy Anderson
Defendants.	}	

Defendant Frontier Airlines, Inc.'s ("Frontier") motion for summary judgment came on regularly for hearing on June 17, 2019, at 1:30 p.m., in the United States District Court, Central District of California, the Honorable Percy Anderson presiding.

After consideration of the papers submitted by the parties, arguments of counsel and all other papers on file in this action, the Court finds that:

WHEREAS, federal control over aviation and aviation safety is "intensive and exclusive," Frontier cannot be held liable for operating an aircraft that the US Federal Aviation Administration ("FAA") has certified as airworthy, or for not warning about a condition which the FAA has not deemed to be unsafe;

WHEREAS, the pervasive regulations test, the nature of the subject matter test

CLYDE & CO US LLP  
355 S. Grand Avenue, 14th Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
Telephone: (213) 358-7600

1 and the Airline Deregulation Act all preclude the use of state law, federal standards  
2 exclusively govern liability in this matter; and

3 WHEREAS, plaintiff's causes of action against Frontier fail as a matter of law  
4 because: Frontier operated and maintained the subject aircraft in accordance with  
5 FAA regulations; the inspection of the subject aircraft following the odor incident  
6 described in plaintiff's complaint did not reveal any defects or issues with the aircraft;  
7 the subject aircraft was tracked for 30 days following the incident and no odor events  
8 were noted; plaintiff has not disclosed any expert witnesses with respect to her alleged  
9 injury, causation, or any relevant standards of care; the gate agents handling diverted  
10 flight no. 1630 in Phoenix did not confine or intend to confine plaintiff; and plaintiff  
11 consented to remain in the terminal area to hear announcements regarding her flight.

12 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT:

13 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56, Frontier's motion for summary  
14 judgment is granted and judgment is hereby entered in favor of Frontier and against  
15 plaintiff.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17  
18 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2019

\_\_\_\_\_  
19 HONORABLE PERCY ANDERSON  
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

CLYDE & CO US LLP  
355 S. Grand Avenue, 14th Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
Telephone: (213) 358-7600

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is 633 West 5th Street, 26th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90071.

On May 20, 2019, I served the document(s) described as:

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT GRANTING FRONTIER AIRLINES INC.'S  
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

on the parties in this action addressed as follows:


SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

in the following manner:

- ☐ **(BY FAX):** by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below, or as stated on the attached service list, on this date before 5:00 p.m.
- ☐ **(BY MAIL):** as follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
- ☐ **(BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY):** I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered to an overnight delivery carrier with delivery fees provided for, addressed to the person(s) on whom it is to be served.
- ☐ **(BY PERSONAL SERVICE):** I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by hand this date to the offices of the addressee(s).
- ☒ **(BY CM/ECF):** by electronic filing system with the clerk of the Court which will send a Notice of Electronic Filing to all parties with an e-mail address of record, who have filed a Notice of Consent to Electronic Service in this action:

I declare I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on **May 20, 2019**, at Los Angeles, California.

  
Sonja L. Gray

CLYDE & CO US LLP  
355 S. Grand Avenue, 14th Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
Telephone: (213) 358-7600

SERVICE LIST

Marcus J. Bradley, Esq. (SBN 174156)  
Kiley L. Grombacher, Esq. (SBN 245960)  
BRADLEY/GROMBACHER LLP  
2815 Townsgate Road, Suite 130  
Westlake Village, California 91361  
Tel: (805) 270-7100  
Fax: (805) 270-7100  
E-Mail: [mbradley@bradleygrombacher.com](mailto:mbradley@bradleygrombacher.com)  
[kgrombacher@bradleygrombacher.com](mailto:kgrombacher@bradleygrombacher.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Kristi D. Rothschild, Esq. (SBN 222727)  
Julian Alwill, Esq. (SBN 259416)  
ROTHSCHILD & ASSOCIATES, APC  
27 W. Anapamu Street, Suite 289  
Santa Barbara, California 93101  
Tel: (805) 845-1190  
Fax: (805) 456-0132  
E-Mail: [krrothschild@kdrllawgroup.com](mailto:krrothschild@kdrllawgroup.com)  
[jalwill@kdrllawgroup.com](mailto:jalwill@kdrllawgroup.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiff